

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,447	09/30/2003	Nikolay Korovin	40696.0300	2446	
20322	7590 12/14/2005		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
SNELL & WILMER			ELEY, TIM	ELEY, TIMOTHY V	
ONE ARIZON	NA CENTER				
400 EAST VAN BUREN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHOENIX, AZ 850040001			3724		
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/60	05,447	KOROVIN ET AL.				
		Exam	niner	Art Unit				
		Timot	thy V. Eley	3724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE NEW PRIOD FOR THE NEW PRIOD FOR THE NEW PRIOD FOR THE NEW PRIOR	MAILING DATE O s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. tatutory period will apply of y will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mone application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>01 Decemb</i>	er 2005.					
· · _	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restri	re withdrawn from						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the Capplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the capplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the capplacement of the c	ection to the drawing g the correction is re	g(s) be held in abey equired if the drawir	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	or documents have or documents have of the priority document of the priority document.	been received. been received in cuments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	DTO 048)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date			of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3724

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4,6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Liu et al(5,720,845).
  - Liu et al discloses a workpiece carrier comprising; a carrier housing including part 62, a workpiece bladder(38) coupled to the housing, the workpiece bladder having a surface configured to press against a surface of a workpiece; and at least one pressure transducer(29) mounted to the carrier housing for controlling pressure provided to the workpiece bladder. See figure 3, column 3, lines 39-end.
  - Regarding claims 2 and 6, the bladder comprises a plurality of pressurizable zones and each zone has a pressure transducer for

Art Unit: 3724

monitoring the pressure to that zone as clearly depicted in figure 3.

- Regarding claim 4, the workpiece carrier further comprises a control board(30) mounted to the carrier. See column 4, lines 8-13.
- 3. Claims 1,2,6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Berman et al(2003/0211811).
  - Berman et al discloses a workpiece carrier comprising; inherently a carrier housing, a workpiece bladder(14) coupled to the housing, the workpiece bladder having a surface configured to press against a surface of a workpiece; and at least one pressure transducer(20) mounted to the carrier housing for controlling pressure provided to the workpiece bladder. See figure 1, paragraphs 20,25,26, and 28.
  - Regarding claims 2 and 6, the bladder comprises a plurality of pressurizable zones and each zone has a pressure transducer for monitoring the pressure to that zone as clearly depicted in figure 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3724

5. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al or Berman et al, each considered independently, in view of Zias et al(4,051,712).

- Both Liu et al and Berman et al are explained above.
- Neither Liu et al nor Berman et al discloses an automatic calibration system for calibrating the at least one pressure transducer.
- However, Zias et al discloses that it is well known in the art to automatically calibrate a pressure transducer in order to maintain a desired pressure level. See abstract.
- Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified both the Liu et al and Berman et al apparatuses, each considered independently, by providing an automatic calibration system for automatically calibrating the at least one pressure transducer as taught by Zias et al in order to maintain desired pressure levels in the apparatuses.

Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al in view of Muller et al(5,980,361).

- Liu et al is explained above.
- Liu et al does not disclose at least one valve for the control system.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,447

Art Unit: 3724

 Muller et al discloses control valves for independently operating pressure chambers in a workpiece carrier for individually actuating different areas of a support plate 1.

Page 5

• Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Liu et al apparatus by providing control valves for independently operating each of the actuators as taught by Muller et al for better control of the apparatus.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - The cited references disclose individually operational pressure areas of a bladder.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V. Eley whose telephone number is 571-272-4506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-

Art Unit: 3724

4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

tve